UNCLASSIFIED

## Modeling & Forecasting COVID-19 in NM

Copyright Notice And Disclaimer

January 5, 2021

For Scientific and Technical Information Only © Copyright Triad National Security, LLC. All Rights Reserved.

#### For All Information

Unless otherwise indicated, this information has been authored by an employee or employees of the Triad National Security, LLC., operator of the Los Alamos National Laboratory with the U.S. Department of Energy. The U.S. Government has rights to use, reproduce, and distribute this information. The public may copy and use this information without charge, provided that this Notice and any statement of authorship are reproduced on all copies.

While every effort has been made to produce valid data, by using this data, User acknowledges that neither the Government nor Triad makes any warranty, express or implied, of either the accuracy or completeness of this information or assumes any liability or responsibility for the use of this information. Additionally, this information is provided solely for research purposes and is not provided for purposes of offering medical advice. Accordingly, the U.S. Government and Triad are not to be liable to any user for any loss or damage, whether in contract, tort (including negligence), breach of statutory duty, or otherwise, even if foreseeable, arising under or in connection with use of or reliance on the content displayed on this site.



# Short- & Long-Term Forecast for NM: Cases



|   | Best Case                              | Middle Case         | Worst Case |  |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | New Mexico Based                       | on Data as of 2021- | -01–04     |  |  |  |
|   | 6-Week Forecast of Confirmed Cases for |                     |            |  |  |  |

| week                                 | (5th Percentile) | (50th Percentile)^ | (95th Percentile) |  |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| 2021-01-04                           |                  | 147,315*           |                   |  |
| 2021-01-11                           | 150,518          | 153,234            | 157,262           |  |
| 2021-01-18                           | 153,480          | 159,098            | 167,627           |  |
| 2021-01-25                           | 156,308          | 165,364            | 178,772           |  |
| 2021-02-01                           | 159,298          | 172,368            | 191,315           |  |
| 2021-02-08                           | 162,600          | 180,122            | 205,438           |  |
| 2021-02-15                           | 166,099          | 188,735            | 221,971           |  |
| *Last reported confirmed cases count |                  |                    |                   |  |
| AClosest-matching scenario           |                  |                    |                   |  |

| 6–Week Forecast of Daily Average of Confirmed Cases                |   |                    |                   |  |  |
|--|---|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| for  | for New Mexico Based on Data as of 2021–01–04 |                    |                   |  |  |
|  | Best Case                                     | Middle Case        | Worst Case        |  |  |
| Week   | (5th Percentile)                              | (50th Percentile)^ | (95th Percentile) |  |  |
| 2021-01-04   |   | 1,237*             |                   |  |  |
| 2021-01-11   | 458   | 846                | 1,421             |  |  |
| 2021-01-18   | 423   | 838                | 1,481             |  |  |
| 2021-01-25   | 404   | 895                | 1,592             |  |  |
| 2021-02-01   | 427   | 1,001              | 1,792             |  |  |
| 2021-02-08   | 472   | 1,108              | 2,018             |  |  |
| 2021-02-15   | 500   | 1,230              | 2,362             |  |  |
| *Last reported confirmed cases count<br>^Closest_matching scenario |   |                    |                   |  |  |

#### So what?

The daily number of cases are expected to range between 400 and 1,500 in the next few weeks

# Short- & Long-Term Forecast for NM: Deaths



| 6–Week Forecast of Deaths for                             |                  |                     |                   |
|---|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1   | New Mexico Based | on Data as of 2021- | -01-04            |
|   | Best Case        | Middle Case         | Worst Case        |
| Week  | (5th Percentile) | (50th Percentile)^  | (95th Percentile) |
| 2021-01-04  |                  | 2,574*              |                   |
| 2021-01-11  | 2,674            | 2,717               | 2,758             |
| 2021-01-18  | 2,758            | 2,840               | 2,920             |
| 2021-01-25  | 2,835            | 2,953               | 3,076             |
| 2021-02-01  | 2,905            | 3,061               | 3,235             |
| 2021-02-08  | 2,973            | 3,174               | 3,421             |
| 2021-02-15  | 3,041            | 3,292               | 3,639             |
| *Last reported deaths count<br>^Closest-matching scenario |                  |                     |                   |

| 6–Week Forecast of Daily Average of Deaths                    |   |                    |                   |  |  |
|---|---|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| for   | for New Mexico Based on Data as of 2021–01–04 |                    |                   |  |  |
|   | Best Case                                     | Middle Case        | Worst Case        |  |  |
| Week  | (5th Percentile)                              | (50th Percentile)^ | (95th Percentile) |  |  |
| 2021-01-04  |   | 27*                |                   |  |  |
| 2021-01-11  | 14  | 20                 | 26                |  |  |
| 2021-01-18  | 12  | 18                 | 23                |  |  |
| 2021-01-25  | 11  | 16                 | 22                |  |  |
| 2021-02-01  | 10  | 15                 | 23                |  |  |
| 2021-02-08  | 10  | 16                 | 27                |  |  |
| 2021-02-15  | 10  | 17                 | 31                |  |  |
| *Last reported confirmed deaths<br>^Closest-matching scenario |   |                    |                   |  |  |

#### So what?

The daily number of deaths are expected to range between 11 and 26 in the next few weeks

## **Growth Rate for NM**



6-Week Forecast of the Average Weekly Growth Rate for New Mexico Based on Data as of 2021-01-04

|                                    | Best Case        | Middle Case        | Worst Case        |  |
|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| Week                               | (5th Percentile) | (50th Percentile)^ | (95th Percentile) |  |
| 2021-01-04                         |                  | 0.87%*             |                   |  |
| 2021-01-11                         | 0.31%            | 0.56%              | 0.94%             |  |
| 2021-01-18                         | 0.28%            | 0.54%              | 0.92%             |  |
| 2021-01-25                         | 0.26%            | 0.55%              | 0.92%             |  |
| 2021-02-01                         | 0.27%            | 0.59%              | 0.97%             |  |
| 2021-02-08                         | 0.29%            | 0.63%              | 1.0%              |  |
| 2021-02-15                         | 0.30%            | 0.67%              | 1.1%              |  |
| Last weekly mean daily growth rate |                  |                    |                   |  |
| rciosesi-matching scenario         |                  |                    |                   |  |

### So what? As of January 4<sup>th</sup>, the average growth rate in NM is at 0.87%

### > Regional Forecasts, Growth Rates, & Hospitalizations

## Cumulative Cases & Daily Growth Rate for NM: Dec 28



## Cumulative Cases & Daily Growth Rate for NM: Jan 4



7-day-average daily growth rate (% 2.0

1.0

0.5

0.2

0.1

\*Growth rate is in cumulative cases



### Daily Growth Rate for NM Jan 4



\*arrows indicate more than 0.5% difference in growth rate from last week's analysis; growth rate is in cumulative cases 7-day-average daily growth rate (%)

> 1.0 0.5 0.2 0.1

2.0

Socorro 0.7% = Quay 0.4% = Mora 1.9% = Union 0.1% = Hidalgo 1.0% = Colfax 0.9% ↓ Roosevelt 0.8% = DeBaca 2.2% ↑ Los Alamos 2.0% ↑ Catron\* 1.2% ↑

| County     | Daily Growth Rate | Change       |
|------------|-------------------|--------------|
| San Juan   | 1.5%              | =            |
| Rio Arriba | 1.3%              | =            |
| Sierra     | 1.4%              | 1            |
| McKinley   | 0.9%              | =            |
| Sandoval   | 1.0%              | =            |
| Santa Fe   | 0.9%              | =            |
| Cibola     | 0.7%              | $\downarrow$ |
| Bernalillo | 0.8%              | =            |
| Valencia   | 0.7%              | =            |
| Torrance   | 0.7%              | $\downarrow$ |
| Lincoln    | 0.8%              | =            |
| San Miguel | 1.4%              | $\downarrow$ |
| Chaves     | 0.8%              | $\downarrow$ |
| Dona Ana   | 0.7%              | =            |
| Otero      | 0.7%              | =            |
| Lea        | 1.0%              | =            |
| Eddy       | 1.2%              | =            |
| Curry      | 0.8%              | =            |
| Grant      | 2.1%              | 1            |
| Luna       | 0.7%              | =            |
| Taos       | 0.7%              | =            |

## Weekly Growth Rate for NM: Another View (Jan 4)





Two Weeks

3+ Weeks

Last Week

### So what?

- Most people in New Mexico are living in a county that is accelerating
- Counties with >500 weekly cases per 100k: Lea, Eddy, McKinley, San Juan, Sierra, Hidalgo, DeBaca

Number of New Mexicans living in regions with particular combinations of per capita case counts and 7-day growth rates

Low <10 cases/100k per week Med 10-99 cases/100k per week High >100 cases/100k per week

Los Alamos National Laboratory

### Concurrent Hosp & ICU Beds Based on Forecasts – Average Stay of 8 Hosp, 15 Days for ICU/vent & 25% ICU rate





Concurrent COVID-19 ICUs beds

| Week | Qu. 5%<br>(best case) | Qu. 50%<br>(median) | Qu. 95%<br>(worst case) |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1/11 | 134                   | 177                 | 242                     |
| 1/18 | 78                    | 152                 | 271                     |
| 1/25 | 62                    | 151                 | 303                     |
| 2/1  | 60                    | 163                 | 333                     |
| 2/8  | 61                    | 181                 | 368                     |
| 2/15 | 67                    | 200                 | 430                     |
|      |                       |                     |                         |

"Scaled" Scenario

#### So what?

We are <u>over baseline ICU bed capacity</u> for concurrent COVID-19 patients; our model is tracking with the median this week. Model is predicting a <u>gradual decline</u> over the next 3 weeks followed by another increase

### Concurrent Hosp & ICU Beds Based on Forecasts – Average Stay of 8 Hosp, 15 Days for ICU/vent & 25% ICU rate



Concurrent COVID-19 non-ICU "med-surge" beds

| Week              | Qu. 5%<br>(best case) | Qu. 50%<br>(median) | Qu. 95%<br>(worst case) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1/11              | 326                   | 499                 | 786                     |
| 1/18              | 212                   | 456                 | 833                     |
| 1/25              | 185                   | 471                 | 953                     |
| 2/1               | 187                   | 511                 | 1021                    |
| 2/8               | 196                   | 563                 | 1167                    |
| 2/15              | 207                   | 622                 | 1357                    |
| "Scaled" Scenario |                       |                     |                         |

#### So what?

Med-surge general bed needs are tracking with the median case scenario this week; medsurge beds predicted to <u>gradually decline</u> during the first 3 weeks of January

Los Alamos National Laboratory

## 05 Jan 2021: EpiGrid modeling

- Assumes all counties remain "red". (More precisely we assume that transmission parameters stay as they are.)

- Quarantine mostly modeled at 50% Dec. 8<sup>th</sup>-22<sup>nd</sup> and 45% afterwards (quarantine times slower later).
- Small increases in transmission are parameterized for Thanksgiving. A few days around Dec. 25<sup>th</sup>, and New Year's Day are similarly increased.
- Vaccination starts Dec. 15<sup>th</sup> with 2500 people per day and 90% vaccine effectiveness. Initial effects are now at the threshold of detectability, see below. United States New Mexico United States New Mexico







#### United States New Mexico



latitude

log10 Cumulative cases, wk 47, 2021-01-17

### 05 January 2021 Model (EpiGrid) – more details and information

- Daily reported cases in El Paso are no longer declining.
- Transmission is based on mobility with modifications due to PHO's.
  - Modeling of public reaction and public health orders (PHO) is similar to previous models.
  - Geographical heterogeneity of mobility accounts for the majority of variations in the force of infection from county-to-county.
- Death rates now include more of the inhomogeneity by-county
  - Counties with higher-risk populations have higher death rates.
- Isolation and quarantine rates are assumed to be stable.
  - Swab to results times: Assuming 1-3 days
  - Base isolation rate ranges from 0.5 to 0.45 for NM over the last three weeks.
- Baseline results do not reflect B.1.1.7 (N501Y/"London"/UK) variant of SARS-CoV-2
  - Potential for a 50% increase in contagion/force of infection.
  - Caveats apply, non-flat age distribution of cases, higher viral titers, no increased pathology.



Texas\_El Paso

### **T-80 Mobility – northern counties (Data only).**

All counties had high mobility shortly before Dec. 25<sup>th</sup> with several counties having their peak mobility since May 2020. Los Alamos, Rio Ariba and Taos peaked between Dec 25<sup>th</sup> and New Year's. Mobility was low on Dec. 25<sup>th</sup> and Jan 1<sup>st</sup> (Fridays).



### T-80 Mobility – southern counties (and Curry) (Data only)

 Most counties had increased mobility shortly before the 25<sup>th</sup>. Chaves, Dona Ana, Otero, Lea, Lincoln, Socorro were at or near peak mobility since May. Dec. 25<sup>th</sup> and Jan 1<sup>st</sup> (Fridays) had low mobility.



Monday

Dona Ana

- Wednesday/Thursday
  - Friday (usually higher)





Lea

### **Fundamental Considerations for Vaccination Objectives. Effects Modeled.**

#### 0. EpiGrid reflects vaccination going forward.

- Analysis greatly aided by access to by-time and by-location data (county, or finer resolution). Currently using 2-2.5k/day vaccinations.
- 6 months to complete 2.1M vaccine series requires ~11k/day people being vaccinated, or ~22k inoculations/day over the long-haul.
- Current~2k/day?
- 1. Reduce the death rate. Time frame ~4 weeks to initial effects with Pfizer
  - Early administration to high-risk populations and individuals at elevated risk of mortality (immediately after 1a).
    - At-risk and often congregated populations in multi-generation housing, etc.
    - People living with ESRD, DMII, COPD, etc.
    - 65-and-over years of age, see recent TX directives for vaccination after group 1a (older populations).
  - These populations are driving hospital load, and mortality.
  - High risk-for-mortality populations are widely distributed and preferential administration is unlikely to inhibit other objectives.

#### 2. Lower the rate of spread. Connectivity-based, and geographically-based. Time frame ~3 weeks to see initial effects with Pfizer.

- Initial (threshold-discernable) effects on epidemic growth rate are present in NM's EpiGrid model. Confirmation with data will take time.
- The existence of geographical hot-spots (N.B. Top 10 Zip Code list) allows targeting of other demographic contributors to risk of transmission.
- Employment description is correlated with daily contact rate and associated demographic risk factors (i.e. income, etc.).
  - Targeting job that are *high-transmission* will automatically select for the most significant risk during stemming from high-contact work.
  - "Front-line" vs. "essential". Essential workers may in some cases be low-risk.
- 3. Achieve vaccine-mediated herd immunity. Time frame determined by integrated vaccine production and administration.
  - Because vaccine-mediated herd immunity can go well beyond the extinction threshold, this creates an opportunity for the elimination of COVID.
  - Recent events in the B.1.1.7 (N501Y & assoc. mutations) point out the extraordinary utility of elimination as distinct from epidemic "control".
  - The presence of B.1.1.7 in the US may create a race between strain replacement and vaccination to avoid undesirably outcomes.

### Virus variant B.1.1.7 likely more important than hybrid schools, State-wide view.

United States\_\_New Mexico

United States\_\_New Mexico

United States\_\_New Mexico



Modeled as new variant replacement starting 5 January 2021, and requiring 10 weeks to complete (left two panels).
 Vaccination continuing at 2.5k/day vaccinations.

### Hospital bed concurrent usage by COVID-19 patients

- Left panel: Linear vs. time shows hospital utilization and capacity. Current (lower) model and three week ago (upper, cyan).
- Right panel: Log vs. time, same data and models.
- November 16<sup>th</sup> PHO and Thanksgiving are now parameterized, Christmas and New Year's have similar parameterizations.
- Parameterized a hospital model going forward for the lower curve.



### **Conclusions and Discussion**

- New Mexico's epidemic spread is improving very slowly.
- Strain B.1.1.7 (N501Y/"London"/"UK"/...) represents a significant source of potentially dangerous uncertainty through strain replacement. *Monitoring capable of detecting B.1.1.7 spread in New Mexico should be a priority.*
- El Paso's daily incidence has risen over the last week.
- Nationwide geographical dispersion likely a significant source of cross-state seeding of local transmission.
- Bernalillo's demand for ICU beds is now a less important factor than in December.
- NM Test positivity remains well above 7%. It was >~10% recently.
- The effects of vaccination are at the threshold of detectability in New Mexico's Epigrid *model*. Confirmation with data is likely to take weeks.
- Targeting vaccine to high-mortality areas and populations will have the largest immediate effect on this model.
- The level of hospital loading is consistently lower relative to recent incidence than compared with events in July.
- Discussion:
  - Vaccinating high risk-of-mortality populations will lower the mortality rate and further lower hospital loading.
  - Schools are highly mitigated, elementary school provides little evidence for in-school spread with the current viral strain. SARS-CoV-2 strain B.1.1.7 represents a potential risk to in-person schooling plans. Improved PPE might be required, etc.
  - There is an urgent need for situational awareness regarding B.1.1.7. The level of contagion may be 1.5x that of the current "Milan" strain that dominates in the US and NM. *The situation is uncertain, and caveats apply. E.g. Non-flat age distribution of B.1.1.7 in the UK (Ferguson).*
  - Indoor, un-masked activities are inherently risky (meals). How to mitigate? Airflow in addition to distance?
  - Qualitatively higher testing rates (i.e. 10x) can substantially offset local epidemics (i.e. South Korea) by facilitating tracing and quarantine.
     Sequencing can provide diagnostics, and provides strain-level information that is likely to become important in the near future, and is compatible with high testing rates.

### > Additional Slides

### **Regional Hospitalization Forecasts: Central**



#### Concurrent COVID-19 ICUs beds: Central

| Week | Qu. 5%<br>(best case) | Qu. 50%<br>(median) | Qu. 95%<br>(worst case) |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1/11 | 65                    | 94                  | 131                     |
| 1/18 | 45                    | 93                  | 158                     |
| 1/25 | 38                    | 94                  | 177                     |
| 2/1  | 34                    | 96                  | 189                     |
| 2/8  | 29                    | 99                  | 202                     |
| 2/15 | 24                    | 100                 | 223                     |

#### So what?

ICU bed usage is expected to remain steady; tracking with median.

### **Regional Hospitalization Forecasts: Southwest**



#### Concurrent COVID-19 ICUs beds: Southwest

| Week | Qu. 5%<br>(best case) | Qu. 50%<br>(median) | Qu. 95%<br>(worst case) |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1/11 | 29                    | 43                  | 63                      |
| 1/18 | 18                    | 43                  | 76                      |
| 1/25 | 17                    | 45                  | 87                      |
| 2/1  | 14                    | 46                  | 87                      |
| 2/8  | 13                    | 46                  | 92                      |
| 2/15 | 11                    | 47                  | 101                     |

#### So what?

ICU bed usage is expected to <u>remain steady</u> in the Southwest region.

### **Regional Hospitalization Forecasts: Northwest**



#### Concurrent COVID-19 ICUs beds: Northwest

| Week | Qu. 5%<br>(best case) | Qu. 50%<br>(median) | Qu. 95%<br>(worst case) |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1/11 | 16                    | 28                  | 42                      |
| 1/18 | 7                     | 23                  | 50                      |
| 1/25 | 4                     | 22                  | 53                      |
| 2/1  | 4                     | 26                  | 60                      |
| 2/8  | 6                     | 28                  | 64                      |
| 2/15 | 6                     | 29                  | 71                      |

#### So what?

#### ICU bed usage is expected to <u>slowly</u> decrease in the Northwest region

### **Regional Hospitalization Forecasts: Southeast**



#### Concurrent COVID-19 ICUs beds: Southeast

| Week | Qu. 5%<br>(best case) | Qu. 50%<br>(median) | Qu. 95%<br>(worst case) |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1/11 | 10                    | 15                  | 21                      |
| 1/18 | 5                     | 13                  | 26                      |
| 1/25 | 3                     | 13                  | 30                      |
| 2/1  | 3                     | 14                  | 31                      |
| 2/8  | 3                     | 15                  | 36                      |
| 2/15 | 4                     | 17                  | 37                      |

#### So what?

#### ICU bed usage is expected to slowly decrease in the Southeast region

### **Regional Hospitalization Forecasts: Northeast**



#### Concurrent COVID-19 ICUs beds: Northeast

| Week | Qu. 5%<br>(best case) | Qu. 50%<br>(median) | Qu. 95%<br>(worst case) |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1/11 | 7                     | 12                  | 18                      |
| 1/18 | 3                     | 10                  | 22                      |
| 1/25 | 1                     | 9                   | 25                      |
| 2/1  | 1                     | 10                  | 26                      |
| 2/8  | ]                     | 12                  | 27                      |
| 2/15 | 2                     | 14                  | 31                      |

#### So what?

#### ICU bed usage is expected to slowly decrease in the Northeast region

### > Non-Congregational Shelter Forecast

## Non-Congregate Shelter Forecast

- Our goal is to inform the capacity of Santa Fe and Albuquerque shelters for forecasting the potential that Santa Fe becomes full and guests need to reroute to Albuquerque

   We also examine McKinley and San Juan Counties, which historically have had high shelter use
- We calculate a ratio between the mean number of daily new cases over the previous two weeks to current occupied rooms
  - We apply this ratio to the forecast of COVID-19 cases from the LANL COFFEE model to estimate the number of rooms needed
- We use the spread in the case forecast to report a subsequent spread in the shelter forecast
- We calculate the number of new rooms need by applying the ratio of occupied rooms:new cases to the number of cases forecasted in each county

#### So what?

Shelter use right now is trending between median and worst case scenario predictions

## Non-Congregate Shelter Forecast: Santa Fe

Number of cases as of 1/3/21:7,489 Number of shelter rooms available: 52 Total number of patients/medical workers (including specialty): 36 Number of patients: 35 Number of medical workers: 1 2-week avg. new cases per day: 50



|                                    | 1/10/21       | 1/17/21       | 1/24/21       |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Total cases                        | 7,739         | 7,992         | 8,266         |
|                                    | (7,570-8,035) | (7,647-8,598) | (7,726-9,215) |
| # of rooms needed                  | 26            | 26            | 28            |
|                                    | (8-56)        | (8-58)        | (8-63)        |
| Deficit (-) or surplus<br>of rooms | 26            | 26            | 24            |

## Non-Congregate Shelter Forecast: Bernalillo

Number of cases as of 1/3/21:41,730 Number of shelter rooms available:213 Total number of patients/medical workers (including specialty):43 Number of patients:40 Number of medical workers:3 2-week avg. new cases per day:318



|                                    | 1/10/21         | 1/17/21         | 1/24/21         |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total cases                        | 43,023          | 44,192          | 45,474          |
|                                    | (42,329-44,101) | (42,791-46,474) | (43,249-49,195) |
| # of rooms needed                  | 25              | 23              | 25              |
|                                    | (12-23)         | (9-46)          | (9-52)          |
| Deficit (-) or surplus<br>of rooms | 188             | 190             | 188             |

## Non-Congregate Shelter Forecast: McKinley

Number of cases as of 1/3/21:9,997 Number of shelter rooms available: 160 Total number of patients/medical workers (including specialty): 51 Number of patients: 46 Number of medical workers: 5 2-week avg. new cases per day: 68



|                                    | 1/10/21         | 1/17/21         | 1/24/21         |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total cases                        | 10,289          | 10,575          | 10,892          |
|                                    | (10,099-10,639) | (10,191-11,309) | (10,286-12,055) |
| # of rooms needed                  | 31              | 31              | 34              |
|                                    | (11-69)         | (10-72)         | (10-80)         |
| Deficit (-) or surplus<br>of rooms | 129             | 129             | 126             |

## Non-Congregate Shelter Forecast: San Juan

Number of cases as of 1/3/21: **10,551** Number of shelter rooms available: Total number of patients/medical workers (including specialty): Number of patients: Number of medical workers: 2-week avg. new cases per day:



|   | 1/10/21         | 1/17/21         | 1/24/21         |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total cases                             | 11,190          | 11,841          | 12,518          |
|   | (10,818-11,781) | (11,076-13,059) | (11,335-14,411) |
| # of rooms needed                       | 11              | 11              | 12              |
|   | (5-21)          | (5-22)          | (4-23)          |
| Deficit (-) or surplus<br>of rooms (SJ) | 14              | 14              | 13              |